

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/561,423	12/19/2005	Luis Carriere Lluch	62542-1030	6387	
Michael A O'N	7590 / 01/08/2007 Jeil	EXAMINER			
Michael A O'Neil PC 5949 Sherry Lane Suite 820 Dallas, TX 75225			SINGH, SUNIL K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			3732		
allo perpose and an angle	,			····	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/08/2007	PAF	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		\mathcal{N}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/561,423	LLUCH, LUIS CARRIERE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sunil K. Singh	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tte, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 l	December 2005.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	·
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		<u>``</u>
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2005 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
•		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/0712006</u> .	6)	·

Application/Control Number: 10/561,423 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract does not clearly describe what particular improvements the applicant is claiming coverage for in the previous patent. Furthermore, it is not known what document this patent number is referring to. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is not known what document the applicant is referring to with this patent number, "200102210".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has not been disclosed. Evidence of concealment of the best mode is based upon the applicant not clearly describing what particular features of the previous patent the applicant wants to improve, as it is not known to what document and invention the previous patent number is referring to.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3732

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not known to what specific features the applicant is requesting coverage for in the previous patent. Furthermore, the claims cannot refer to a previous patent, and it is not known what document this patent number is referring to.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. As best understood by the examiner, Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen (US 5,632,618) in view of Buchholz (US 4,279,041).

Jensen discloses an orthodontic device having a ball joint (Fig. 8) where the arm (17,22) of the median segment is joined to the ball joint (18,24) forming an acute angle (0 degrees). Jensen further discloses an orthodontic device where the recess of the distal segment (Shown in Figures 8 and 9) intended to receive the ball joint has a form that partially mates with that of the ball joint. The width between the faces being somewhat greater than the width between the faces of the ball joint (Figs. 8 and 9); and the recess having an inlet orifice (26), which has a smaller a diameter smaller than the diameter of the ball joint. However, Jensen fails to disclose an orthodontic device where the ball joint of the median segment is spherical with two diametrically opposed planar faces in the position in the position of polar segments of the sphere.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,423

Art Unit: 3732

Buchholz teaches an invention having a ball joint (1) of the median segment (2) being spherical with two diametrically opposed planar faces (11 and 12) so that the ball head can be inserted to the distal segment with a good fit (Column 3, Lines 24-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Jensen with a ball joint having two coplanar faces, as taught by Buchholz, in order to allow for a better fit of the ball joint to the distal segment.

Page 4

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunil K. Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3460. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris L. Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,423

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sunil K Singh

Page 5

Examiner

Art Unit 3732

SKS 12/26/2006

EDUARDO C. ROBERT